



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. MBHB00-516)

In the Application of:

Risto Makipaa

Serial No.: 09/604,596

Filed: June 27, 2000

Title: Centralized Management of
Telecommunications Parameters

Art Unit: 2683

Examiner: Lee Nguyen

Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

Dear Sir:

Applicants hereby petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) to revive the unintentionally abandoned application referenced above.

A grantable petition to revive under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by (1) the reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in §1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer. No terminal disclaimer is required in this case. Items (1) through (3) are discussed below.

(1) Reply To Outstanding Office Action Or Notice

An Official Action issued in the application on October 1, 2002. The failure to respond to the Official Action led to the unintentional abandonment of this application

A Reply to the October 1, 2002 Official Action accompanies this Petition.

(2) Petition Fee As Set Forth In 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m)

Included with this petition is a check for \$750.00, the small entity fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m). The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 13-2490.

(3) Statement Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3)

Applicants hereby affirm that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the January 2, 2003 deadline for filing an Official Action Reply until the filing of this grantable petition was unintentional.

Information supporting the unintentional nature of the delay includes the following:

- A Declaration of A. Blair Hughes and accompanying exhibits establishing (1) the delay in responding to the Official Action for the above-identified U.S. patent application is unintentional; and (2) the diligent efforts made by the applicant from the discovery of the abandonment up to the filing of this Petition.
- A Declaration of Tapio Äkräs and accompanying exhibits establishing that the Applicant's abandonment of the above-identified patent application was unintentional.

(4) **Miscellaneous**

A small entity status statement pursuant to 37 CFR 1.27 is included with this Petition.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above statements and evidence in support of this petition, Applicants respectfully submit that this petition is in grantable form and request that it be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 17, 2006

By: 

A. Blair Hughes
Reg. No. 32,901
312-913-2123
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6709